



### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of : Confirmation No. 7273

Toshiaki MORI et al. : Attorney Docket No. 2006\_0507A

Serial No. 10/576,586 : Group Art Unit 3752

Filed April 20, 2006

CONTENT TRANSMISSION DEVICE AND CONTENT REPRODUCTION DEVICE

THE COMMISSIONER IS AUTHORIZED TO CHARGE AND OCCUPATION THE FEES FOR THIS PARENT TO DEPOSIT

**ACCOUNT NO. 23-0975** 

# SUBMISSION OF ENGLISH VERSIONS OF INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY AND WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Submitted herewith for consideration by the Examiner are:

- 1) An English version of the International Preliminary Report on Patentability; and
- 2) An English version of the Written Opinion of the International Searching Authority.

Respectfully submitted,

Toshiaki MORI et al.

Michael S. Huppert

Registration No. 40,268

Attorney for Applicants

MSH/kjf Washington, D.C. 20006-1021 Telephone (202) 721-8200 Facsimile (202) 721-8250 January 29, 2007

## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference P37795-P0	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below				
International application No. PCT/JP2005/002180	International filing date (day/month/year) 14 February 2005 (14.02.2005)	Priority date (day/month/year) 31 March 2004 (31.03.2004)				
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237						
Applicant MATSUSHITA ELECTRIC INDUSTRIAL CO., LTD.						

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).							
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 4 sheets, including this cover sheet.  In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.							
3.	. This report contains indications relating to the following items:							
	Box No. I	Basis of the report						
	Box No. II	Priority						
	Box No. III  Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability							
	Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention							
	Box No. V  Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement							
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited						
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the inter	national application					
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on th	e international application					
4.	4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis.2).							
			Date of issuance of this report 29 November 2006 (29.11.2006)					
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland			Authorized officer  Masashi Honda					
Facsin	Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70		e-mail: pt08@wipo.int					

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

TRANSLATION From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below P37795-P0 Priority date (day/month/year) International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) 31.03.2004 PCT/JP2005/002180 14.02.2005 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC Applicant MATSUSHITA ELECTRIC INDUSTRIAL CO., LTD. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Basis of the opinion Box No. I Box No. II Priority Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Βοχ Νο. ΠΙ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Certain observations on the international application Box No. VIII **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Authorized officer Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP Telephone No. Facsimile No.

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/JP2005/002180

Вох	No. I	Basis of this opinion
1.	With filed	regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was unless otherwise indicated under this item.
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under
	-	Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With inver	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed ation, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a.	type of material
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b.	format of material
		in written format
		in computer readable form
•	c.	time of filing/furnishing
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
·3.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Addi	tional comments:

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2005/002180

Box	No. V			ule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; pporting such statement	
1.	Statement				
	Novelty	(N)	Claims	1-11	YES
			Claims		NO
	Inventiv	e step (IS)	Claims	1-11	YES
			Claims		NO
	Industria	al applicability (IA)	Claims	1-11	YES
			Claims		NO
2.	Citations an	d explanations:			
	Docume	nt 1: WO. 02-06	57588, A	2 (Hewlett-Packard Company), 29 August, 2002 (29.08.02), Cla	aim

Document 1: WO, 02-067588, A2 (Hewlett-Packard Company), 29 August, 2002 (29.08.02), Claim 18

Document 2: JP, 2003-258895, A (NTT Comware Corp.), 12 September, 2003 (12.09.03), paragraphs [0020]-[0025]

Document 3: WO, 03-090427, A1 (Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd.), 30 October, 2003 (30.10.03), Claim 8

Document 4: JP, 2003-224588, A (Nippon Telegraph And Telephone Corp.), 08 August, 2003 (08.08.03), Claim 9

The subject matters of claims 1-11 are neither described in any of the documents 1-4 cited in the ISR nor obvious to a person skilled in the art.

In particular, a constitution for "calculating the target transmission speed to be assigned to the content reception of each communication means for each predetermined time according to the empty capacity of the buffer and the bit rate, and transmitting a first request signal including the calculated target transmission speed to the content transmission device via one of the communication means" is neither described in any of the documents 1-4 nor obvious to a person skilled in the art.